



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,925	10/23/2001	Manfred Boldy	DE920000041US1	9258
7590	05/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Andrew Calderon McGUIRE WOODS LLP 1750 Tysons Blvd., Suite 1800 McLean, VA 22102				OMGBA, ESSAMA
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/043,925	BOLDY, MANFRED	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Essama Omgba	3726		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date . . .
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Grois et al. (US Patent 6,434,315).

With regards to claims 1 and 13, Applicant, at pages 1 and 2 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a cable mounted to a connector with ends of the cable marked for identification purpose. AAPA does not disclose a strain relief clamp with a transparent portion through which a marking of interest is visible when the strain relief clamp is installed on the connector. However Grois et al. teaches a strain relief component 74 with a transparent portion 80 affording visual inspection of the cable within the connector, see column 3, lines 46-66. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided a strain relief clamp with a transparent portion in the connector of AAPA, in light of the teachings of Grois et al., in order to afford visual inspection of the cables. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide appropriate shape transparent portions on the strain relief clamp.

For claims 3-6, 8, 9 and 14-17, see column 3, lines 66-67, column 4, lines 1-2 and figure 1. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of

ordinary skill in the art to provide appropriate shape transparent portions on the strain relief clamp.

For claim 7, Applicant, at pages 1 and 2 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a method for identifying a cable that has identification markings on its end section wherein cable ends are marked for identification and mounted to connectors. AAPA does not disclose a strain relief clamp with a transparent portion through which a marking of interest is visible when the strain relief clamp is installed on the connector. However Grois et al. teaches a strain relief component 74 with a transparent portion 80 affording visual inspection of the cable within the connector, see column 3, lines 46-66. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided a strain relief clamp with a transparent portion in the connector of AAPA, in light of the teachings of Grois et al., in order to afford visual inspection of the cables.

For claims 10-12, see column 3, lines 66-67, column 4, lines 1-2 and figure 1. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide appropriate shape transparent portions on the strain relief clamp.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA/Goris et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Beier et al. (US Patent 6,367,897).

AAPA/Goris et al. discloses a strain relief clamp as shown above except for the strain relief clamp including an antikink protective sleeve. However it is known to provide antikink sleeves to connectors as attested by Beier et al., see column 4, lines

22-25 and figure 1. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the strain relief clamp of AAPA/Grois et al. with an antikink protective sleeve, in light of the teachings of Beier et al., in order to prevent buckling of the cable.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgbga whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

eo
May 20, 2004

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian D. Goff".